

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,226	01/18/2001	Baining Liu	101215-55	7377	
7590 09/28/2004			EXAMINER		
Bruce S. Londa			NGUYEN, TUAN N		
NORRIS, McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30th Floor			ARTONI	TATERNOMBER	
220 East 42nd Street			2828		
New York, NY	10017		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/765,226	LIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,)			
	Tuan N Nguyen	2828	Bu			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ıly 2004.					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	,		merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,6,7 and 9-11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,6,7 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National :	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Intentious Summers	(PTO-412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/765,226

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In responds to applicant's amendment filed 07/15/2004, claims 3, 4 have been amended. Claims 1,2, 5, 8, 12, and 13 are canceled. Claims 3,4,6, 7, 9,10,11 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102/103 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)/103 as being anticipated by Gries et al. (US 6633595) or Zanger et al. (US 6317449), or Pelouch et al. (US 5383198).

With respect to claims 3, 4 Gries '595 discloses and shows in figure 1 " A frequency-converter laser apparatus comprising an optical pumping source for producing optical pumping radiation; a unidirectional ring cavity comprising a frequency conversion crystal, a prism and mirror arrangement, wherein the frequency conversion crystal is positioned such that the radiation produced by the optical pumping source enters in a direction such that the crystal is passed by radiation only in one selected beam path direction. (Fig 1: Prism, mirror 1 & 2, and optically nonlinear crystal). Pelouch et al. '198 also shows a unidirectional ring cavity comprising a Brewster-angle crystal, prism, and mirrors arrangement, such that the radiation produced by the optical pumping source enters in a predetermined direction (Fig 1: 18-30). Zanger et al. ' 449 also shows a similar structural (Fig 4). Since claim 3 recites the same or

Application/Control Number: 09/765,226

Art Unit: 2828

identical limitations it is inherent to use patents ('595), or '198, or ('449) to recite the method of producing frequency-converted laser radiation, product by process.

With respect to claims 9 and 11, Gries '595 disclosed the crystal made from BBO (ABSTRACT; Col 1: 60-61), and the prism connected to a piezoelectric element (Col 2: 15-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.
- 5. Claims 6,7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gries et al. (US 6633595) or Zanger et al. (US 6317449), or Pelouch et al. (US 5383198).

With respect to claims 6, 7, 10 Gries '595 or Zanger et al. '449 discloses the above, and further shows the coupling optic disposed between pump source and ring cavity. The claims further require a stage amplifier and an external resonant cavity, which is disclosed by Zanger et

al. '449 (ABSTRACT). Even though Gries '595 did not explicitly disclosed a stage amplifier, however the ABSTRACT disclosed the "arrangement for resonant frequency doubling..." suggest the stage amplifier is inherently built in, and the ring cavity is an external resonant cavity, it is within one skill in the art.

Response to Remark

6. Applicant pointing out that Gries' laser arrangement has two elements of optical transparent materials are combined to compensate dispersion. And the conversion crystal of Gries is not positioned in a predetermined or selected direction. The examiner believes that the beam path has an inherent predetermined or selected direction, and applicant did not distinguish the claim from the reference, as disclosed in the remark. In relating to the unidirectional ring cavity Gries et al. did not discretely disclosed if the arrangement is unidirectional or bidirectional, and Zanger et al. '449 shows in figures 2,4,8,9 that the beam path is unidirectional.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Minsun can be reached on (703) 308-16741. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Tuan N. Nguyen

War Nguyen

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER